Case 1:02-cv-00648-WDQ Document 183-13 Filed 05/04/2007 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT I

Page 1

In the U.S. District Court

District of Maryland, Northern Division

----x

Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission and : Kathy Koch :

: NO. WDQ-CV-648

v.

LA Weight Loss Centers, Inc.

October 11, 2005

DEPOSITION OF:

Karen K. Raybuck,

a witness, called by counsel pursuant to notice, commencing at 2:00 a.m., which was taken at Wolf,

Block, 1401 New York Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Page 58 Page 60 of a motivator to those that were losing their 1 Q. It's my understanding from testimony that 1 you had a conversation with Joy Freathy. 2 2 weight. 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. How did you respond to Joy's warning? A. What do you mean by how did I respond? 4 Q. When did that conversation take place? 4 A. The one specifically with reference to me Q. Did you have any response? 5 5 6 being terminated, I don't know if it happened on the A. In that conversation? 6 7 29th or if it was the day before, but it was that 7 Q. In that conversation at that particular morning when I showed up to work late. There was a 8 8 time? snow storm. 9 9 A. I don't remember. I don't remember if I Q. During the six weeks you were employed at was too upset or I just apologized. I don't 10 10 LA Weight Loss was your performance at LA Weight remember. 11 11 Loss ever evaluated? 12 Q. Did Ms. Freathy provide you with a A. I do believe so. timeframe within which to improve your performance? 13 13 14 Q. Do you recall by whom? A. I don't remember. 14 15 A. Joy. 15 Q. Do you recall ever being on a probationary 16 Q. Before the date of your termination were 16 period at LA Weight Loss? you ever provided any, were you ever provided with 17 A. That does sound correct. any notice or advice on areas of improvement in your Q. Do you recall that probationary period 18 performance? being about approximately two weeks? 19 19 A. Yes. 20 A. I do believe so. 20 O. When was that? 21 Q. During that two week probationary period 21 22 A. I don't know if it was two weeks prior to 22 you were asked to work on your people skills. Does Page 59 Page 61 termination. Maybe approximately that amount of 1 1 that sound correct? time. What was the rest of the question? 2 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. You've answered the question. Q. That two week probationary period 3 concluded on or around December 29, 1998, did it A. Okay. 4 4 Q. By whom were you provided that notice or not? 5 5 warning? A. Yes. 6 6 7 7 O. At which point you were discharged, A. Joy. Q. What did Joy tell you? Strike that. correct? 8 8 Was that notice or warning provided verbally 9 9 A. Yes. O. You were discharged by the company for or in writing? 10 10 failing to adequately improve those people skills, 11 A. I think it was both but I'd have to go 11 back and look at my notes. Definitely verbally but correct? 12 12 I'm under the impression it was also in writing, MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, foundation. 13 13 from what I remember. A. Their perception of it. 14 Q. The verbal warning was from Joy Freathy? BY MS. KARETNICK: 15 15 A. Yes. O. That's your understanding of the company's 16 16 Q. What did Joy say? position? 17 17 A. The areas I remember our conversation 18 18 A. Yes. emphasizing was one of being to work on time, Q. Ms. Raybuck, you did not agree with the

19

20

21

22

setting an example for any other employees and the

very empathic and that I could improve on being more

other was my counseling techniques that I wasn't

company's stated reasons for discharging you?

Q. And, in fact, you filed a charge of

A. That's correct.

Page 62 Page 64 1 discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity to the parties in light of that fact. 1 Commission, did you not? 2 In addition, I would encourage counsel 2 3 A. I did. prior to conducting any examination regarding that 3 Q. The basis for that charge was gender issue to inquire of Ms. Raybuck whether she ever 4 4 disability discrimination? 5 retained counsel regarding those claims and to the 5 6 A. Yes. extent that she did, I believe it would be 6 7 Q. As well as retaliation? appropriate for counsel to be notified, inquiry into 7 8 A. The retaliation, I'm not sure of. I don't this matter which would be in the scope of that 8 remember that necessarily. representation is being made, and having said that, 9 9 Q. What was the basis for your claim that LA 10 10 EEOC is obviously not going to obstruct this Weight Loss discriminated against you on the basis examination on the subject matter. 11 11 of your sex? Ms. Raybuck is, at least not sitting 12 12 here today, is not represented by counsel. She is 13 MR. PHILLIPS: I would like to 13 register an objection. not represented by EEOC so EEOC is not going to give 14 15 I don't want to do it with the witness her an instruction not to answer the question. 15 16 present because I don't want to influence her 16 Furthermore, we would note that, unlike testimony. I understand there's a question pending the Jody Walters matter, there is no pending 17 17 18 but I do need to make my objection. 18 administrative proceeding with respect to 19 If counsel will allow me to make the Ms. Raybuck's declaration -- I'm 19 objection with the witness in the room, that's fine, sorry -- Ms. Raybuck's charge of discrimination. 20 20 but if counsel wishes the witness to leave the room Having said that, if the inquiry goes 21 I can make the objection that way too. 22 on for an extended period of time then we may seek Page 63 Page 65 1 MS. KARETNICK: Since I don't know 1 to cut that off but for now we'll sit back and just 2 what the objection is, I think it's probably 2 note our objection. advisable that the witness leave the room for the 30 3 3 MS. KARETNICK: In response to EEOC's objection to this line of inquiry regarding seconds hopefully it will take to make the 4 4 Ms. Raybuck's notice of charge of discrimination, LA 5 objection. 5 Weight Loss' position is Ms. Raybuck's charge of 6 (Pause) 6 7 7 MR. PHILLIPS: Just for the record, discrimination against LA Weight Loss may go to her 8 the EEOC is making an objection to any line of 8 credibility as a witness and may have some impeachment or other value which would not preclude inquiry concerning the underlying factual basis for 9 9 any allegations of discrimination that were alleged its admissibility under federal rules of evidence. 10 10 by Ms. Raybuck against LA Weight Loss in connection That being said, I assure Mr. Phillips 11 11 with the charge of discrimination that she has that this line of inquiry is not going to be 12 previously filed. extensive, that we are not here to waste 13 13 The bases for the objection are several Mr. Phillips' or the witness' time. 14 14 fold. One, it is consistent with our position that 15 Furthermore, the privilege, if any, 15 we took during the Jody Walters deposition. that attaches to the basis for Ms. Raybuck's charge 16 16 of discrimination is her privilege, one which, if 17 It is the position of the EEOC that 17 18 that kind of evidence is not relevant to any issue 18 she chooses to waive, she may. in this case. So whether or not she was represented 19 19 In any event, it would not be by counsel, I think at this point it is of no 20 20 admissible under federal rule of evidence 403 and to moment, especially in light of the fact that 21 21 Ms. Raybuck did not and cannot take action against inquire into that matter causes unnecessary expense 22

3

6

7

Page 66

1 LA Weight Loss at this point in time for events that 2 occurred in 1998, and on that, Mr. Phillips, your 3 objection is noted.

Do you have further to add?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

7

8

9

MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I'll just note for the record that while it is certainly true that an individual can agree to waive a privilege, the privilege belongs to the client.

Having said that, the requirements of Maryland rule of professional responsibility 4.2 are not waiveable by the client.

11 I suspect that that is also true under 12 the -- if she has retained counsel, which this may 13 all be academic. I understand that. But that I 14 15 suspect that that is also true under the applicable rule, either 4.2 or DR4107 that may be applicable in either the State of Virginia or in the District of 17

Columbia. 18 19 With respect to the charge, certainly the EEOC would be willing to agree to stipulate that 20 21 there was such a charge but beyond that we do not believe that any of these matters should be subject 22

Page 68

(The record was read as requested) 1

2 BY MS. KARETNICK:

Q. Why don't I rephrase the question,

4 Ms. Raybuck?

A. That would be great. 5

> O. Why did you believe that LA Weight Loss discriminated against you on the basis of your sex?

A. I was pregnant and my pregnancy was an 8

9 issue.

10 Q. How was your pregnancy an issue?

A. I don't believe that their confidence in 11

12 my ability to sell the product during pregnancy was

there, while I was pregnant, was something they

believed I could do. 14

15 Q. At the time you were hired by LA Weight

16 Loss were you pregnant?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. At the time you were hired at LA Weight

Loss how many months pregnant were you? 19

A. Weeks. 20

Q. Were you aware that you were pregnant at 21

the time that you were hired by LA Weight Loss? 22

Page 67

to examination at this point.

2 We would also note that the filing of a charge indicates a good faith belief by a charging 3 party. Therefore, whether or not -- good faith 4 belief that they've been subjected to 5 6 discrimination.

Whether that belief is firmly grounded or in fact or ultimately proves meritorious is not itself relevant.

10 By signing a charge, an individual does not warrant that -- does not guarantee that there 11 was, in fact, discrimination and, therefore, inquiry 12 into the factual foundation for the charge is not 13 productive as part of even testing the credibility 15 of the witness.

16 Having said that, we've made our 17 objection and we will not interfere at this time with counsel's examination on the subject matter. 18

MS. KARETNICK: Let's go off the 19 record for a moment. 20

21 (A discussion takes place which is held off the record) 22

1 A. Yes.

5

15

18

2 Q. Did you make anyone else at LA Weight

3 Loss, any of your superiors, aware that you were

pregnant? 4

A. No.

Q. At what point did people at LA Weight 6

7 Loss, that is your superiors, become aware that you

8 were pregnant?

A. Couple of weeks into my employment there. 9

Q. How did they become aware that you were 10

11 pregnant?

A. I told them. 12

13 Q. Who did you tell?

A. Joy, the trainer. 14

Q. Why did you believe they did not have

confidence in your abilities to sell product while 16

17 pregnant?

A. My need for eating, breaks and so forth,

became an issue. 19

20 Q. As an area manager, not one in training as

you were the six weeks you were employed at LA 21

22 Weight Loss, but as a functioning area manager would

Page 69